

Zanthoxylum infructescence detection based on adaptive density clustering

Diwei Wu,^{1,2} Shaohua Zeng,^{1,2} Shuai Wang,³ Yanan Chen,⁴ Yidan Xu⁵

¹College of Computer & Information Science, Chongqing Normal University; ²Chongqing Center of Engineering Technology Research on Digital Agricultural & Service; ³Chongqing Master Station of Agricultural Technology Promotion; ⁴Chongqing Wanzhou District Station of Soil Fertilizer and Agricultural Ecological Protection; ⁵Chongqing Beibei District Agriculture and Rural Committee, Chongqing, China

Abstract

To determine the *Zanthoxylum* yield, infructescence detection during the early fruiting stage is a prerequisite. The purpose of this research is to determine and quantify the infructescences on photos of *Zanthoxylum*'s early fruit-bearing branches that are gathered in their natural habitat. Consequently, a two-phase machine vision-based algorithm for identifying *Zanthoxylum* infructescences is proposed. First, the fruits of *Zanthoxylum* infructescences are extracted by extracting the histogram of oriented gradient (HOG) feature map and excess green minus excess red (ExGR) index from the branch image of the plant. The second involves roughly and adaptively classifying fruits based on the density of their position distribution. Rough clusters are then combined using an optimization model to produce the best possible clustering outcome. Experiments with normal samples demon-

Correspondence: Shaohua Zeng, College of Computer & Information Science, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing, 401331, China. E-mail: zsh cqu@126.com

Key words: adaptive density clustering; infructescence detection; yield estimation; Zanthoxylum.

Acknowledgments: this research was supported by Chongqing University Innovation Research Group Funding [Grant No. CXQT20015] and Research Project of Chongqing Normal University [Grant No. YKC21060].

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material: the raw data required to reproduce these findings cannot be shared at this time as the data also form part of an ongoing study.

Received: 8 November 2022. Accepted: 9 May 2023.

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2024 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Journal of Agricultural Engineering 2024; LV:1568 doi:10.4081/jae.2024.1568

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher. strate that the proposed approach receives a Precision of 96.67%, a Recall of 91.07%, and an F1-score of 0.93. Compared to ADPCkNN, DBSCAN, and OPTICS algorithms, the suggested algorithm performs better in robustness and attains a higher F1-score and recall. In the meantime, its competitiveness is demonstrated in the deep learning-based method experiments. The tests demonstrate its efficacy in adaptively detecting the infructescences of branch images of *Zanthoxylum*.

Introduction

Estimating the yield of Zanthoxylum during the early fruiting stage is indispensable for growers. It can provide growers with the necessary information to support logistics, crop storage, and marketing in advance (Zhang *et al.*, 2022). In Chongqing, Zanthoxylum Schinifolium, one of the Zanthoxylum species, is widely grown. Its planting area is expanding and output is increasing year by year. In 2019, the planting area and yield of Zanthoxylum in Chongqing increased over the previous year by 4.3% and 17.8%, respectively, reaching 73,000 hectares and 435,000 tons, respectively (Kuang *et al.*, 2020). The infructescence number of immature Zanthoxylum can to a certain extent predict the future yield. Manual sampling is labor-intensive and inefficient. Therefore, it is crucial to provide a machine vision-based method for identifying Zanthoxylum infructescences.

When extracting the infructescence regions of *Zanthoxylum*, each infructescence is regarded as a cluster composed of a series of fruits. In recent years, various techniques have been developed for automatic fruit detection, which can help improve the efficiency, functionality, intelligence, and remote interactivity of harvesting robots in complex agricultural environments (Tang *et al.*, 2020; Li *et al.*, 2022). The following are commonly adopted to achieve the goal, such as deep learning, circle hough transform (CHT), local binary pattern (LBP), and stereo vision.

There is no doubt that deep learning has gained wide application in intelligent agriculture recently. A video processing method was developed by Gao et al. (2022) to improve the detection accuracy of apple fruits in orchard environment with modern vertical fruiting-wall architecture by introducing a YOLOv4-tiny network. Lv et al. (2022) drew a visual recognition way of apple growth morphology. They designed a model named YOLOv5-B, which embedded BiFPN-5 and ACON-C in YOLOv5 to improve the performance. It achieved high accuracy in real time. Zhou et al. (2022) and Tang et al. (2023) presented methods to recognize and locate Camellia oleifera fruits based on YOLOv7 and YOLOv4, respectively. Both these two algorithms display their excellent performance in locating *oleifera* fruits. In addition to its application in fruit recognition, deep learning-based methods have also been applied to some other fields. Researchers (Ji et al., 2023) designed a target detection method based on multi-scale pyramid

pagepress

fusion image enhancement and the MobileCenterNet model to achieve rapid and accurate detection of pond-cultured river crabs. Xu et al. (2023) improved YOLOv5 to address the problems of low grading accuracy and slow grading speed in the apple grading process. However, there are some limitations to deep learningbased approaches, training is expensive in certain circumstances due to their reliance on high-performance hardware, high time cost, a large number of labeled samples, and a multitude of parameters. This gives traditional machine learning algorithms an edge over deep learning under constrained conditions. Lin et al. (2020) proposed a novel technique for fruit detection in natural environments which is applicable. A novel probabilistic CHT is developed to obtain fruit candidates. It is competitive for detecting most types of fruits in natural environments. For the purpose of extracting possible fruit regions, an algorithm (Lu et al., 2018) combining LBP and edge hierarchy was designed. It can obtain 82.3% accuracy only relying on texture and intensity distribution features. Researchers of the China Agricultural University (Zhang et al., 2021) adopted 3D point cloud images obtained by an RGB-D camera to recognize pomegranate fruits. The algorithm finally obtained a recall of 87.74%. In recent years, histogram-oriented gradients (HOG) features (Dalal and Triggs, 2005), which are often used in human detection, have recently been introduced for fruit detection. Scholars (Tan et al., 2018) developed an approach to recognize blueberry fruit of different maturity in outdoor scenes. HOG feature vectors are calculated from 1374 patches which were cropped from the original color images, and a linear support vector machine classifier is trained to detect fruit-like regions rapidly. Most of the above methods are presented for detecting individual fruits, while the infructescence of Zanthoxylum is a string of fruits. Thus, it is necessary to implement clustering based on distribution information for detecting infructescences after obtaining the fruit-like regions. To fit crop rows by location clustering, Zhang et al. (2018) developed a method based on the extracted feature regions. It obtains the feature points of final clusters by combining location clustering and the shortest path. The crop rows are fitted with a linear regression algorithm. Ma et al. (2021) raised a robust crop root row detection algorithm based on line clustering and supervised learning, which obtains the crop rows through the linear clustering algorithm and performs anomaly detection. An approach (Biglia et al., 2022) was established to detect vine rows automatically within 3D point clouds of vineyards based on the detection of key points and a density-based approach. The results showed that the detection was found to be 100% in accordance with the manual one.

Zanthoxylum infructescence has cluster-like construction in natural environment. Mature Zanthoxylum are generally red and different from the background. There are few studies focused on Zanthoxylum detection. Xu et al. (2022) presented a Zanthoxylumpicking-robot target detection method based on improved YOLOv5s. Firstly, the CBF module based on the CBH module is improved in the backbone to promote detection accuracy. Then, a specter module based on CBF is presented to replace the bottleneck CSP module, which improves the speed of detection with a lightweight structure. Finally, the algorithm is checked by the improved YOLOv5 framework, and the differences in detection between YOLOv3, YOLOv4, and YOLOv5 are analyzed and evaluated. Nevertheless, the fruits of *Zanthoxylum Schinifolium* are green and the images of the *Zanthoxylum Schinifolium*'s infructes-cence collected in natural environment are complex because there are weeds in the background while the fruits are small. Thus, they are difficult to identify. Meanwhile, the available sample set is small. These cause that the existing methods cannot be applied directly to detect the infructescences of *Zanthoxylum*. For detecting *Zanthoxylum* infructescence, a framework based on adaptive density clustering is proposed to support further studies. More precisely, the main work in this paper is summarized below:

- A feasible framework is developed to detect the infructescences of *Zanthoxylum* automatically in a complex environment, which transforms the infructescence detection into density clustering for fruit regions.
- 2. A method for extracting fruit-like regions is designed in the framework, which integrates color and morphological features.
- 3. A novel density-based method, using a new density metric and an improved clustering validity index, is designed to solve the problem of the existing density clustering relying on hyperparameters.

To the author's best knowledge, such efforts have never been seen in any prior work.

The details of collecting samples and the proposed method are described in the following section. Experiments on the proposed algorithm are carried out, and the effectiveness of the algorithm is demonstrated in the Results section. In the last section, the conclusion is given.

Materials and Methods

Data acquisition

Image acquisition

According to the growth stages of *Zanthoxylum*, the samples were collected between late March and early April 2021, after the blooming stage. These images were taken in Bishan District, Chongqing, China (near the 29°36'1.95"N, 106°11'14.48"E), and 307 images in total were captured manually using a portable device with fixed camera parameters over several days. Samples were saved as 24-bit color JPG images with a resolution of 6016×4512px and a focal length of 7 mm. The distance between the infructescences and lens is 150-250 mm.

Dataset partitioning

Seventy-five typical samples were randomly selected to verify the performance of the proposed algorithm. They were resized to 1200×900px by bilinear interpolation. Two sample sets with different conditions were created as follows. The detailed distribution of the samples is shown in Table 1. Some typical images with differ-

Table 1. Distribution of the created sample set.

Conditions	Normal	Robust			
		Leaves cover the targets	Overlapping infructescences	Complex background	Bright light/dark light
Number of samples	25	37	24	8	12
Number of infructescences	134	215	144	47	72

Note: since a sample in the robust sample set may have multiple complex conditions at the same time, the number of robust samples is greater than 50.



ent conditions are displayed in Figure 1. In a normal sample set, images were collected under normal natural conditions without the complex situations listed below; in a robust sample set, images were collected with one or more of the following complex situations: i) the leaves cover part of the infructescence area; ii) the infructescence overlaps each other; iii) complex elements in the background with wildflowers or large areas of weeds; iv) the environment was either too bright or too dark.

Description of infructescence detection framework

This research proposes a feasible method for detecting infructescences, the framework is shown in Figure 2. It can be divided into two parts. The first part is fruit region extraction and the second part is density-based clustering on the distribution of fruit regions.

As the first part shown in Figure 2, HOG operator and vegetation index are introduced for extracting morphologic features and segmenting the fruit areas from the image. First, the feature map of the HOG is obtained, thresholding is performed on the feature map later, and the joint-direction-intensity feature is constructed. Then the excess green minus excess red (ExGR) index (Meyer *et al.*, 2004) of the original image is calculated, and the plant area and non-plant area are segmented on the basis. The results of the above steps are then combined to obtain fruit regions.

The second part of the framework, density-based clustering, consists of three main steps: first, the fruit regions are roughly clustered based on the density information of the fruit regions. Then, the clustering validity index (CVI) is adopted to further merge the results of the rough clustering, and the optimal clustering result is obtained. Finally, based on the clustering results, the minimum bounding rectangle of each cluster is found to realize the detection and counting of the infructescences.

Fruits extraction

Joint-direction-intensity feature

An infructescence of Zanthoxylum consists of multiple spherical fruits, as shown in Figure 2a, and descriptions in this section are all based on this figure. To strengthen the distinction between infructescences and background, HOG is imported to extract morphological features of fruits, which is shown in Figure 2b. Since the diameter of each fruit is about 10-18px, the size of each cell is set to 14, named *cell_size*.

HOG features indicate that if a cell contains infructescence, then its gradients in each direction are similar and large. The HOG feature map is binarized with a threshold of 127, simple but effective, as shown in Figure 2c. Using the thresholding approach, the joint-direction-intensity feature map is obtained for further fruit extraction. The binarized HOG feature map undergoes further processing to determine the number of directions for each cell, which is typically proportionate to the number of white pixels within it. The pixels in a cell with more than 5 directions are marked as 1 and the rest is 0. Joint-direction-intensity feature map is named as *I*.

Segmentation of plant and non-plant

Various background clutters in images collected in a wild environment. To reduce the influence of background and improve the accuracy of the algorithm, a grayscale image is created to mark a pixel is in green plant (infructescence regions, leaves, and weeds) or non-plant (soil, branches, and shadows, *etc.*) by calculating ExGR, which is defined as Eq. 1:

$$ExGR = ExG - ExR$$

= $(2g - r - b) - (1.4r - g)$
= $3g - 24r - b$ (1)



Figure 1. Images of *Zanthoxylum* under different conditions: a) normal sample; b) infructescences covered by leaves; c) overlapping infructescences; d) samples with complex background; e) samples with bright light; f) samples with several conditions.



where r, g, and b are the normalized chrominance channel values. An example is shown in Figure 2d.

The histograms of ExGR images exhibit bimodal characteristics, the valley between its two peaks can be regarded as the threshold to segmentate of plant and non-plant area. The outcome of threshold segmentation is a binary image, denoted as PM, in which plant area is 1 and 0 means non-plant area (Figure 2e).

Fruit region extraction

The joint-direction-intensity feature map I is built to identify circulars in an image. ExGR is introduced to segment plant and non-plant areas, and then produce a binary map named *PM*. Since fruits of *Zanthoxylum* are usually small green circulars, it's difficult to locate fruits using only the joint-direction-intensity feature or ExGR segmentation. Therefore, these two features are considered together, and one region is a fruit-like region only when both features are positive. In other words, a region is identified as a fruit when it is both circular-like and green. The Hadamard product of I and *PM* can help find the fruit-like regions, which is shown in Eq. 2.

$$F = I \odot PM \tag{2}$$

where I and PM are defined previously, \odot represents the Hadamard product.

Then, F is divided into m^*n cells with *cell_size*, where $m=width/cell_size$, $n=height/cell_size$, width and height are the

size of the origin image. Finally, cells with more than 1/3 non-zero elements are defined as fruit regions, each of which is equated to a pixel of F', and then marked as 1; the others are non-fruit regions and marked as 0. F' is the result of fruit region extraction and its size is m^*n , which is shown in Figure 2f.

Density-based rough clustering

Zanthoxylum infructescences can be regarded as a series of non-spherical clusters. To identify infructescences, a density-based clustering approach is implemented. However, existing density clustering algorithms, such as density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN) (Ester *et al.*, 1996), ordering points to identify the clustering structure (OPTICS) (Ankerst *et al.*, 1999), and DPC (Rodriguez and Laio, 2014), are difficult to apply to this study due to the inconsistent amount of infructescences, the large density differences among clusters, and the vast number of required hyperparameters. Hence, an adaptive rough clustering method based on density is proposed in this study.

Local density map

Since the cluster centers are generally the points of maximum density value in their neighbors, the maximum points of local density are chosen to be the candidate cluster centers. The clusters are then expanded from them. An excellent density metric can help find the true center of clusters more accurately. Therefore, a novel



Figure 2. Overview of the proposed framework: a) original image; b) histogram of oriented gradient feature map; c) binarized histogram of oriented feature map; d) excess green minus excess red image; e) segmenting result of plant & non-plant area, named PM; f) coordinates of extracted fruits in F'; g) feature density weight and density maximum points; h) result of density-based rough clustering; i) result of adaptive density clustering algorithm for fruit area distribution; j) result of the proposed method, and the infructescence positions are marks with boxes in red borders.



density metric is designed for measuring the density of each point.

Due to the coordinates of the candidate regions extracted in the previous step being all integers, F' can be seen as a grid graph, each grid is a point in F'. Focusing on the 8-direction, = $\{0, \pi/4, \pi/2, 3\pi/4, \pi, 5\pi/4, 3\pi/2, 7\pi/4\}$, the density ρ at F'(x, y) can be defined as Eq. 3:

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} den(x, y, \theta)$$
(3)

where *den* (x, y, θ) is the density of the *F*' (x, y) in the direction θ . The local density map obtained by Eq. 3 is named *DM*.

The calculation of the density of the direction θ is an iterative process that executes when the grid's value is 1. During the iteration, the grids on the direction θ are traversed, and the feature values on the path are weighted and accumulated. The iteration stops until reaching the boundary or feature value is 0. An example is shown in Figure 3.

The density of q_{th} iteration is denoted as $den(x, y, \theta)^{(q)}$, and the calculation formula is shown in Eq. 4:

$$den(x, y, \theta)^{(q)} = \begin{cases} 0, q = 0\\ den(x, y, \theta)^{(q-1)} + f_{travel} \cdot g(q), q > 0 \& f_{travel} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$
(4)

in which, $g(\cdot)$ is a Gaussian function. It implies that the contribution of its feature values decreases as distance increases. *f*_{travel} represents the feature value of the point being iterated, which is defined in Eq. 5.

$$f_{travel} = \begin{cases} F'(x + sign(\tan\theta)\Delta x, y + sign(\sin\theta)\Delta y), |\tan\theta| \le 1\\ F'(x, y + sign(\sin\theta)q), |\tan\theta| = +\infty \end{cases}$$
(5)

where Δx and Δy are the offsets to F'(x, y), $sign(\cdot)$ is a sign func-

Article

tion, they are defined as Eq. 6.

$$\Delta x = \begin{cases} q, |\tan \theta| \le 1\\ q |\cot \theta|, 1 < |\tan \theta| < +\infty \end{cases}, \Delta y = \begin{cases} q, 1 < |\tan \theta| \le +\infty\\ q |\tan \theta|, |\tan \theta| \le 1 \end{cases}, sign(x) = \begin{cases} 1, x > 0\\ -1, x < 0 \end{cases}$$
(6)

Feature weight map and feature density map

In joint-direction-intensity feature map I, the number of directions is proportional to the ratio of white pixels to the entire cell, it also indicates the possibility that a cell is a fruit-like region. Hence, the proportion of white pixels in a cell can be used as a weight to measure the likelihood of a cell is a fruit-like region. Each of the cells will be mapped to a pixel of feature weight map FW with a size as F'. The feature value of *cell_i* can be calculated as in Eq. 7:

$$FW(cell_i) = \sum_{x \in x \in d_i} \chi(x) / cell_size^2$$
(7)

where $\chi(x) = 1$ if x = 255 and $\chi(x) = 0$ otherwise. A point is more likely to be the center of a cluster if it is more likely to be a fruit-like region and has a larger local density. Hence, the Hadamard product of *DM* and *FW* is calculated, which is named as feature density map *FDM*.

Maximum density points

Maximum density points are found to start clustering after getting the *FDW*. Let *FDW* be a bivariate function, which has first and second derivatives. The second derivatives f_{xx} , f_{yy} , and f_{xy} of the point (x, y) in *FDW* compose its Hessian matrix, denoted as H(x, y). It is a maximum density point if the corresponding Hessian matrix H(x, y) is positive definite. An example is shown in Figure 2g, in which the red plus signs are the maximum density points.

Density-based rough clustering

The following demonstrates the fundamental idea of the pro-



Figure 3. The eight directions of the grid with red borders: local density of the red border grid is calculated with the grids with blue borders, in which, grids in grey are out of the map.

posed density-based rough clustering: Initially, the maximum density points of *FDM* are seen as initial centers, and each is labeled uniquely. The neighbors of centers are then connected to the corresponding center. The framework of the density-based rough clustering algorithm is shown in *Supplementary Table 1*.

Step 6 of Supplementary Table 1 is described in detail below: first, a flag is changed is initialized as 0, which indicates whether the cluster list has been modified. Then, the algorithm traverses points and appends the unallocated points to the cluster sets that contain any of their 4-neighbors. If there is any append operation during the current loop, is changed will be changed to 1. The loop will terminate when is changed is 0 after a certain loop. In particular, is changed will be set to 0 at every start of a loop. During the point allocation, a point may belong to multiple cluster sets in Step 6 of Supplementary Table 1. For further data process, the repeatedly assigned points are temporarily stored in the cluster sets. The pseudocode of points allocation is shown in Supplementary Table 2. The remaining unallocated points are considered outliers and will be ignored in the further process. Supplementary Table 2 captures a series of clusters that are separated from each other. However, the same points may be assigned to more than one set of clusters due to flaws in Supplementary Table 2. That is, the same points exist in different sets of clusters. Hence, it is necessary to merge these clusters.

The main idea: an intersection between two cluster sets is found within a loop. If the intersection is not empty, the elements in the cluster set with a larger index will be copied to another set and elements in the cluster with a larger index will be deleted, that is, it will be marked as empty. This process is repeated until the intersection of any two sets is empty in *cluster_list*. The process is shown in *Supplementary Table 3*.

The new cluster sets, reconstructed by the nonempty cluster sets in *cluster_list*, are obtained after merging the clusters with duplicate elements. Labels are re-assigned to points in the new cluster sets and fruit regions are divided. The result of densitybased rough clustering is shown in Figure 2h.

Merge clusters based on local Calinski-Harabasz index

Results of the density-based rough clustering algorithm, shown in Figure 2h, demonstrated that multiple cluster groups could belong to a single cluster, such as clusters 2 and 4 and clusters 15 and 16. The number of clusters can generally be determined by CVI because it is proved that the optimal CVI corresponds to the optimal number of clusters and the optimal clusters by Zhu and Ma (2018). Therefore, CVIs are introduced to obtain the optimal cluster by iteratively merging the rough clustering results.

Original Calinski-Harabasz index

The original Calinski-Harabasz (CH) index (Caliński and Harabasz, 1974) is the ratio of the inter-cluster dispersion degree and the intra-cluster dispersion degree. That is, the CH index increases when the intra-cluster dispersion degree decreases and inter-cluster dispersion degree increases, which is defined in Eq. 8:

$$CH(k) = \frac{Tr(B_k)}{Tr(W_k)} \times \frac{N-k}{N-1}$$
(8)

where *N* is the number of points, B_k and W_k are the inter-cluster scatter matrix and intra-cluster scatter matrix, respectively. $Tr(\cdot)$ represents the trace of a matrix, which indicates the dispersion degree. The definitions of B_k and W_k are shown in Eqs. 9 and 10.



$$B_{k} = \sum_{i} |C_{i}| (v_{i} - v) (v_{i} - v)^{T}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

$$W_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in C_{i}} (x - v_{i}) (x - v_{i})^{T}$$
(10)

where v_i is the center of cluster C_i , v is the global center, k is the number of clusters, and represents the number of points contained in cluster C_i , v_i , and v are defined as follows.

$$v_i = \frac{1}{|C_i|} \sum_{x \in C_i} x \tag{11}$$

$$v = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in C_i} x$$
(12)

Local Calinski-Harabasz index

The original CH index is a global evaluation index to evaluate the quality of clustering. Its inter-cluster dispersion is transformed from a global metric to a local one, which allows it to be utilized to evaluate the scatter between any two adjacent clusters. The new indicator is denoted as local CH index, referred to as LCH, which is defined in Eq. 13.

$$LCH(p,q,k) = \frac{Tr(B_k)}{Tr(W_k)} \times \frac{N-k}{k-1}$$
(13)

where *p* and *q* are the labels of the clusters that are being merged. *C'* is the cluster that is formed by merging cluster *p* and cluster *q*, that is $C' = C_p \bigcup C_q$. W_k ' is the local scatter matrix, representing the intra-cluster dispersion degree of the cluster *C'*. W_k ' is defined in Eq. 14:

$$W_{k}' = \sum_{x \in C'} (x - v') (x - v')^{T}$$
(14)

where v' is the center of cluster C'.

Local Calinski-Harabasz-based cluster merger

The LCH is introduced to evaluate the suitability of merging two adjacent clusters. The higher the LCH value, the more appropriate it is to combine the two clusters. Multiple merge operations based on LCH are performed to get the optimal clustering result. During each merger, the maximum value of LCH between all clusters and their nearest neighbors is found and corresponding p and q are the cluster labels which are taken as the optimal choice for this merger. They are found in Eq. 15.

$$\underset{p,q}{\operatorname{argmax}} LCH(p,q,k) \tag{15}$$

The next merger will be performed based on the previous merger. SC, known as silhouette coefficient (Maulik and Bandyopadhyay, 2002), serves as the global assessment indicator to determine a termination condition of the merging progress. The silhouette coefficient of t_{th} merger is regarded as $SC^{(t)}$ whose range is [-1, 1]. Increases in the coefficient indicate more effective clus-



tering. Thus, the termination condition is as follows:

$$\operatorname{argmax} SC^{(\prime)}$$
 (16)

The pseudocode of the *LCH*-based cluster merging algorithm is shown in *Supplementary Table 4*.

Adaptive density-based clustering algorithm

After the clusters are merged, which is displayed in Figure 2i, the number of clusters obtained is the number of *Zanthoxylum* infructescence. The infructescence detection result is shown in Figure 2j. The minimum bounding rectangle of each cluster is found in units of clusters and mapped to the corresponding coordinates in the original image. The detailed framework of the proposed algorithm is shown in *Supplementary Table 5*.

Results

The experiments are designed as two parts to verify the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm. The first is the comparative experiment with traditional methods, it compares the proposed algorithm with adaptive density peak clustering based on K-nearest neighbors (ADPC-kNN) (Yaohui et al., 2017), which is an extension of DPC (Rodriguez and Laio, 2014), DBSCAN (Ester et al., 1996) and OPTICS (Ankerst et al., 1999) to test the performance of clustering. In the second part of the experiment, several classical deep learning models are introduced for comparison in this paper, including the multi-stage Faster region-based convolutional neural network (RCNN) (Ren et al., 2015) and the singlestage YOLOv5 (Jocher et al., 2022) and YOLOv7 (Wang et al., 2022), to demonstrate the competitiveness of the proposed algorithms under small data sets. Each part of the experiments will be conducted on a normal sample set and the robust sample set previously mentioned to prove the effectiveness of the proposed algo-



Figure 4. The results of comparison experiments with traditional methods: a) results of DBSCAN; b) results of OPTICS; c) results of ADPC-kNN; d) results of the proposed method. In the comparison experiments, the eps and minPts settings of DBSCAN and OPTICS are 3.5 and 5, respectively. ADPC-kNN introduces kNN to improve the calculation of density, where k=25. The boxes with blue borders are the target boxes, and the red boxes are the results obtained with the corresponding method.



rithm. The process of *Zanthoxylum* infructescence detection described in this work was all implemented on a device with a CPU of AMD Ryzen 7 5800H @ 3.20GHz, a RAM of 24.0GB, and a graphics card of NVIDIA GeForce RTX3060 Laptop with video memory of 6GB. The process of image is implemented in Python 3.6.8 and opencv-python 4.4.4 environments. The deep learning models were also trained on this device.

Evaluation metrics

To assess and quantify the performance of the proposed adaptive density-based *Zanthoxylum* infructescence detection method, three commonly used indices are introduced: precision, recall, and F1-score. Their formulas are shown as Eqs. 17-19:

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
(17)

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$
(18)

$$F1 = \frac{2*Precision*Recall}{Precision+Recall}$$
(19)

Table 2. Detailed data of precision comparison experiments.

where *TP* is the number of infructescences that are correctly identified by the proposed algorithm; *FN* represents the number of non-*Zanthoxylum* infructescence regions that are misclassified to infructescence regions; *FP* refers to the number of *Zanthoxylum* infructescences that are misidentified by the algorithm. Since the algorithm proposed in this paper is only adopted for detecting the infructescences, it is defined that *Zanthoxylum* infructescence is correctly identified when the center of the detection box falls in the ground truth and will be marked as *TP*. At the same time, a box of ground truth can only be correctly recognized by one detection box. If multiple detection boxes identify the same infructescence, the remaining detection boxes will be seen as failed detections, and they will be marked as *FN*.

Performance comparison with traditional methods

Although there are some reports of fruits counting and detection with good results (Lu *et al.*, 2018; Tan *et al.*, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2021), it is difficult to compare our research with them because the data processed by each research are all captured from different species, and even the features vary greatly. Therefore, many classical clustering algorithms (Ankerst *et al.*, 1999; Ester *et al.*, 1996; Yaohui *et al.*, 2017) are chosen to prove the effectiveness of the

Algorithm	Sample set	Average precision (%)	Average recall (%)	Average F1-score
OPTICS	Normal	98.33±4.08	57.43±18.35	0.71±0.14
	Robust	100.00 ± 0.00	62.14±18.19	0.75±0.13
ADPC-kNN	Normal	79.76±17.29	79.76±17.29	0.80±0.17
	Robust	82.34±14.34	82.34±14.34	0.82±0.14
DBSCAN	Normal	90.95±16.20	86.81±16.33	0.87±0.12
	Robust	93.93±8.47	81.50±15.29	0.87±0.11
Ours	Normal	96.67±8.16	91.07±13.88	0.93±0.08
	Robust	94.09±13.56	84.64±14.27	0.88±0.10

OPTICS, ordering points to identify the clustering structure; ADPC-kNN, adaptive density peak clustering based on K-nearest neighbors; DBSCAN, density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise.

Table 3.	Parameters	required t	for these	clustering	algorithms.

Algorithm	Parameters	Meaning	Number of parameters
DBSCAN	eps minPts	Radius of neighborhood Threshold of density to distinguish type of points	2
OPTICS	eps minPts	Radius of neighborhood Threshold of density to distinguish type of points	2
ADPC-kNN	k c	The number of nearest neighbors which is introduced to calculate the density Number of clusters	2
Ours	-	-	0

OPTICS, ordering points to identify the clustering structure; ADPC-kNN, adaptive density peak clustering based on K-nearest neighbors; DBSCAN, density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise.

Table 4. Comparison of four indicators for 4 clu	ustering algorithms.
--	----------------------

Indicators	OPTICS	ADPC-kNN	DBSCAN	Ours
RI	0.75	0.87	0.91	0.95
ARI	0.32	0.60	0.70	0.75
NMI	0.59	0.71	0.80	0.88
FMI	0.49	0.69	0.76	0.89

OPTICS, ordering points to identify the clustering structure; ADPC-kNN, adaptive density peak clustering based on K-nearest neighbors; DBSCAN, density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise; RI, Rand index; ARI, adjusted Rand index; NMI, normalized mutual information; FMI, Fowlkes-Mallows scores.



proposed clustering algorithm. Part of the experimental results are shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4a-d shows the results of DBSCAN, OPTICS, ADPCkNN and ours. The results of infructescence detection are displayed with red boxes, and ground truth is shown with blue borders. The experimental results on different sample sets in terms of precision, recall, and F1-score are compared, and are shown in Table 2.

The comparison algorithm and the clustering proposed algorithm were analyzed and their required parameters and corresponding numbers are shown in Table 3. It is found that our algorithm obtains better accuracy than ADPC-kNN and OPTICS while using fewer parameters, and the overall accuracy is slightly better than DBSCAN. Since OPTICS only considers density information, the necessary cluster merging operation is missing. As a result, many small clusters are detected, which leads to OPTICS having high precision, but low recall and F1-score, as shown in Figure 5b. The ADPC-kNN needs to manually specify the number of clusters, which is not suitable for this work. At the same time, when a larger number of clusters is specified, some outliers may be mistakenly selected as the cluster center due to their large distance from each other (Figure 5c). Although the accuracy of the proposed algorithm is only slightly better than that of DBSCAN, it requires fewer parameters and is more adaptive. Therefore, the proposed algorithm has higher accuracy and better adaptability than the comparison algorithms. Even though the scores decreased slightly on the robust sample set, our results and the standard deviation of our onaverage recall and average F1-score are better than that of the comparison algorithms. That is, the proposed algorithm also shows better performance and better stability than other algorithms. It proves the application value of the proposed algorithm in a complex environment.

In addition to precision, recall, and F1-score of infructescence detection, several other external evaluation indicators of clustering algorithms such as Rand index, adjusted Rand index, normalized mutual information, and Fowlkes-Mallows scores are introduced to measure how well the clusters obtained by each algorithm match the ground truth to evaluate the performance of different clustering algorithms. The detailed data of which is shown in Table 4. It can be found that the proposed method obtains the best clustering results for each index.

Performance comparison with deep learningbased methods

There is no doubt that deep learning-based methods are competitive in the field of fruit detection. There are several neural network models like Faster RCNN, YOLOv5, and YOLOv7, which are representative models for multi-stage and single-stage target detection. The key parameters of training these models are shown in Table 5. The learning rate will decay with the increase of training epochs.

In this section, the optimal result of each model is chosen to compare with our algorithm. The optimal detection results of each algorithm are shown in Table 6, and several detection results of these models and ours are randomly displayed in Figure 6.



Figure 5. An example of the comparison algorithms: **a**) original image and the interest area; **b**) result of OPTICS; **c**) result of ADPC-kNN; **d**) our results. The box with yellow borders shown in (a) is the area where mistakes happened. The cluster results without outliers and detection results of three algorithms are shown in b-d. The boxes with blue borders are the ground truth, and the red boxes are the detection results of the corresponding algorithm.

Table 5.	Key parameters	of training neural	network models.

Parameters	Faster RCNN	YOLOv5	YOLOv7
Batch size	2	16	16
Epoch	100	350	350
Initial learning rate	0.005	0.01	0.01
Optimizer	SGD	Adam	SGD
Pre-trained weights	Yes	Yes	Yes

RCNN, region-based convolutional neural network; SGD, stochastic gradient descent.



During the experiment, it can be found that most of the *Zanthoxylum* infructescences can be detected at low confidence, but the misclassification rate and repeat detection rate are higher at this time, and these lead to a lower recall and F1-score in a comprehensive view. With the appropriate confidence, its misclassifi-

cation rate and misdetection rate are reduced, but it also sacrifices its accuracy in complex situations, and its recall rate and F1-score of normal samples are improved somewhat. In the high-confidence case, more infructescences are ignored and the misdetection rate is further increased, resulting in a decrease in all indicators at this



Figure 6. The results of comparison experiments with deep learning-based methods: a) results of faster RCNN; b) results of YOLOv5; c) results of YOLOv7; d) results of the proposed method.

Table 6. Comparison of ours with deep learning-based methods.

Sample set	Indicators	Faster RCNN	YOLOv5	YOLOv7	Ours
Normal	Precision (%)	72.62±21.00	100.00±0.00	76.25±18.81	96.67±8.16
	Recall (%)	82.38±27.73	85.71±24.74	98.33±4.08	91.07±13.88
	F1-score	$0.76{\pm}0.21$	0.91±0.16	0.85 ± 0.10	0.93 ± 0.08
Robust	Precision (%)	73.21±15.96	79.21±18.27	79.90±18.60	94.09±13.56
	Recall (%)	80.14±23.37	83.89±18.37	91.57±18.17	84.64±14.27
	F1-score	0.72±0.15	0.79±0.11	0.84±0.15	0.88±0.10
Average	Precision (%)	72.63±17.24	83.11±18.36	80.36±17.90	94.17±14.26
	Recall (%)	80.92±24.14	84.23±18.77	92.54±14.96	87.64±12.39
	F1-score	0.73±0.17	0.81±0.12	0.85±0.13	$0.90{\pm}0.10$

RCNN, region-based convolutional neural network.





time. Overall, the results of the deep learning model for detecting *Zanthoxylum* infructescences vary considerably with the confidence settings. However, even when the detection results are optimal, the detection accuracy of YOLOv5 and faster RCNN are still worse than the ones of the proposed algorithm. Meanwhile, the results obtained by the algorithm proposed in this paper are also competitive compared to the latest YOLOv7 though the pre-trained weight is introduced. It proves that the algorithm in this paper is valuable in current application scenarios and small sample cases.

Discussion

The proposed method exhibits excellent infructescences detection performance under natural conditions. The average precision is 94.17% in total (in a normal sample set, its precision is 96.67%, and the precision is 94.09% for robust samples), although there are still some misclassifications caused by defects in feature extraction and under-merging. Due to the complexity of the situation, some fruit regions may be misclassified during the feature extraction, which is shown in Figure 7a. In some cases, the extracted fruit areas are separated when the infructescence is large and the internal fruits are sparser, which further leads to under-merging, as shown in Figure 7b. In other conditions, several infructescences may overlap together and the clustering algorithm may fail to work, as shown in Figure 7c. However, the task does not require to detect the precise position of infructescence, and most of the infructescences can be successfully identified, so the algorithm is able to preliminarily complete the task of infructescence counting and estimating yield. Since HOG and ExGR are both normalized in the calculation and they are insensitive to illumination conditions, the algorithm can effectively identify the infructescences under different illumination conditions.



Figure 7. Some examples of failed detection: **a**) example of failed feature extraction; **b**) example of under-merging; **c**) example of overlapping clusters. The extracted feature maps and detection results are enlarged in the right panel of each example which corresponds to the location of yellow boxes marked in the left. The boxes with blue borders are the ground truth, and the red boxes are the results obtained with the proposed method.



Conclusions

In this paper, a study on the density-based infructescence detection method for *Zanthoxylum Schinifolium* is conducted, which is focused on the images of *Zanthoxylum* branches collected in a natural environment. A feasible automatic recognition approach for *Zanthoxylum* infructescences is provided in view of its complex background, difficulty in recognition of *Zanthoxylum* and small sample size, which could provide data support for estimating its yield in the future. The major findings of this study can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Combine the joint-direction-intensity feature, which is an extension of HOG, and ExGR index to extract fruit-like regions as accurately as possible from images with a complex background.
- 2. Build an optimization model to optimize clustering results, and propose a novel adaptive density-based clustering algorithm for detecting the infructescences according to the distribution of fruit-like regions.
- 3. Experiments show that the proposed algorithm exhibits excellent infructescences detection performance with an average precision of 94.17%.
- Compared with OPTICS, ADPC-kNN, and DBSCAN, the proposed adaptive density clustering has a higher accuracy in locating infructescences under normal conditions while it requires fewer parameters. Meanwhile, it also has higher applicability for robust samples.
- 5. Compared with deep learning-based object detection algorithms, the algorithm proposed in this paper proved to be valuable in the case of small samples. All indicators are significantly higher than faster RCNN and YOLOv5, and are also competitive with the latest YOLOv7.

To realize the automatic yield estimation of *Zanthoxylum*, further research on the growth density and area size of *Zanthoxylum* is also needed to build the relationship between them and the yield. In the future, experiments can be conducted on more scenes in the natural environment, such as more complex lighting conditions, and *Zanthoxylum* at different growth stages. Meanwhile, more samples should be collected so that advanced technologies, such as deep learning, can be introduced to conduct further research. Moreover, the precented algorithm can be transferred to other related studies of varieties with similar characteristics, such as the identification of immature grapes.

References

- Ankerst, M., Breunig, M.M., Kriegel, H.P., Sander, J. 1999. OPTICS: ordering points to identify the clustering structure. Sigmod Rec. 28:49-60.
- Biglia, A., Zaman, S., Gay, P. 2022. 3D point cloud density-based segmentation for vine rows detection and localisation. Comput. Electron. Agr. 199:107166.
- Caliński, T., Harabasz, J. 1974. A dendrite method for cluster analysis. Commun. Stat-Theor. M. 3:1-27.
- Dalal, N., Triggs, B. 2005. Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection. 2005 IEEE. Comput. Soc. Conf. San Diego, CA, USA; 1:886-93.
- Ester, M., Kriegel, H.P., Sander, J., Xu, X. 1996. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining., Portland, OR, USA; 96:226-31.

- Gao, F., Fang, W., Sun, X., Wu, Z., Zhao, G., Li, G., Li, R., Fu, L., Zhang, Q. 2022. A novel apple fruit detection and counting methodology based on deep learning and trunk tracking in modern orchard. Comput. Electron. Agr. 197:107000.
- Ji, W., Peng, J., Xu, B., Zhang, T., 2023. Real-time detection of underwater river crab based on multi-scale pyramid fusion image enhancement and MobileCenterNet model. Comput. Electron. Agr. 204:107522.
- Jocher, G., Chaurasia, A.,, Stoken, A., Borovec, J., NanoCode012, Kwon, Y., Michael, K., Xie, T., Fang, J., Imyhxy, Lorna, Yifu, A., Wong, C., Abhiram V, Montes, D., Wang, Z., Fati, C., Nadar, J., Laughing, UnglvKitDe, Sonck, V., Tkianai, YxNONG, Skalski, P., Hogan, A., Dhruv Nair, Strobel, M., Jain, M., 2022. YOLOv5 by Ultralytics, GitHub. Available from: https://github.com/ultralytics/yolov5.
- Kuang, M., Zhang, L., Li, S., Yang, S., Qu, S., Dong, P. 2020. Problems and countermeasures of pepper industry development in Chongqing. South China Agric. 11-3.
- Li, C., Tang, Y., Zou, X., Zhang, P., Lin, J., Lian, G., Pan, Y., 2022. A novel agricultural machinery intelligent design system based on integrating image processing and knowledge reasoning. Appl. Sci-Basel. 12:7900.
- Lin, G., Tang, Y., Zou, X., Cheng, J., Xiong, J. 2020. Fruit detection in natural environment using partial shape matching and probabilistic Hough transform. Precis. Agric. 21:160-77.
- Lu, J., Lee, W.S., Gan, H., Hu, X. 2018. Immature citrus fruit detection based on local binary pattern feature and hierarchical contour analysis. Biosyst. Eng. 171:78-90.
- Lv, J., Xu, H., Han, Y., Lu, W., Xu, L., Rong, H., Yang, B., Zou, L., Ma, Z. 2022. A visual identification method for the apple growth forms in the orchard. Comput. Electron. Agr. 197:106954.
- Ma, Z., Tao, Z., Du, X., Yu, Y., Wu, C. 2021. Automatic detection of crop root rows in paddy fields based on straight-line clustering algorithm and supervised learning method. Biosyst. Eng. 211:63-76.
- Maulik, U., Bandyopadhyay, S. 2002. Performance evaluation of some clustering algorithms and validity indices. IEEE T. Pattern Anal. 24:1650-4.
- Meyer, G.E., Neto, J.C., Jones, D.D., Hindman, T.W. 2004. Intensified fuzzy clusters for classifying plant, soil, and residue regions of interest from color images. Comput. Electron. Agr. 42:161-80.
- Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., Sun, J., 2015. Faster R-CNN: towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. Adv. Neur. In. 28.
- Rodriguez, A., Laio, A. 2014. Clustering by fast search and find of density peaks. Science. 344:1492-6.
- Tan, K., Lee, W.S., Gan, H., Wang, S. 2018. Recognising blueberry fruit of different maturity using histogram oriented gradients and colour features in outdoor scenes. Biosyst. Eng. 176:59-72.
- Tang, Y., Chen, M., Wang, C., Luo, L., Li, J., Lian, G., Zou, X., 2020. Recognition and localization methods for vision-based fruit picking robots: a review. Front. Plant. Sci. 11:510.
- Tang, Y., Zhou, H., Wang, H., Zhang, Y., 2023. Fruit detection and positioning technology for a Camellia oleifera C. Abel orchard based on improved YOLOv4-tiny model and binocular stereo vision. Expert. Syst. Appl. 211:118573.
- Wang, C.Y., Bochkovskiy, A., Liao, H.Y.M., 2022. YOLOv7: Trainable bag-of-freebies sets new state-of-the-art for realtime object detectors. arXiv Preprint. arXiv: 2207.02696v1.
- Xu, B., Cui, X., Ji, W., Yuan, H., Wang, J., 2023. Apple grading method design and implementation for automatic grader based



on improved YOLOv5. Agriculture. 13:124.

- Xu, Z., Huang, X., Huang, Y., Sun, H., Wan, F. 2022. A real-time zanthoxylum target detection method for an intelligent picking robot under a complex background, based on an improved YOLOv5s architecture. Sensors. 22:Article 2.
- Yaohui, L., Zhengming, M., Fang, Y. 2017. Adaptive density peak clustering based on K-nearest neighbors with aggregating strategy. Knowl-Based. Syst. 133:208-20.
- Zhang, C., Zhang, K., Ge, L., Zou, K., Wang, S., Zhang, J., Li, W. 2021. A method for organs classification and fruit counting on pomegranate trees based on multi-features fusion and support vector machine by 3D point cloud. Sci. Hortic-Amsterdam. 278:109791.

Zhang, X., Li, X., Zhang, B., Zhou, J., Tian, G., Xiong, Y., Gu, B.

2018. Automated robust crop-row detection in maize fields based on position clustering algorithm and shortest path method. Comput. Electron. Agr. 154:165-75.

Zhang, X., Toudeshki, A., Ehsani, R., Li, H., Zhang, W., Ma, R. 2022. Yield estimation of citrus fruit using rapid image processing in natural background. Smart Agric. Technol. 2:100027.

Zhou, Y., Tang, Y., Zou, X., Wu, M., Tang, W., Meng, F., Zhang, Y., Kang, H., 2022. Adaptive active positioning of Camellia oleifera fruit picking points: classical image processing and YOLOv7 fusion algorithm. Appl. Sci. Basel. 12:12959.

Zhu, E., Ma, R. 2018. An effective partitional clustering algorithm based on new clustering validity index. Appl. Soft Comput. 71:608-21.

Online supplementary material:

- Table S1. Framework of the density-based rough clustering.
- Table S2. Points allocation (step 6 of Table S1).
- Table S3. Cluster sets merging (step 7 of Table S1.).
- Table S4. Local Calinski-Harabasz-based cluster merger.
- Table S5. Framework of adaptive-density-clustering-based Zanthoxylum infructescence detection.