## Appendix

## Analytical solution for transient flow from a point source method

The conventional method for describing multidimensional infiltration and subsequent distribution of water in a bare soil is to use Richard's equation:

$$C(h)\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \nabla(K(h)\nabla H)$$
A. 1

where  $C(h)=d\theta/dh$  [L<sup>-1</sup>] is the soil water capacity, H=z+h [L] is the total hydraulic head, h [L] is the soil water pressure head, z is the vertical coordinate being positive upward, t [T] is time, K(h) [L T<sup>-1</sup>] is the soil hydraulic conductivity and  $\nabla$  is the Laplacian (the spatial gradient) operator.

Analytical solution of the equation 1 for both steady state and transient water flow may be obtained by a linearization procedure using the exponential hydraulic conductivity function proposed by Gardner (1958):

$$K(h) = K_s e^{\alpha_{GRD}}$$
 A. 2

where  $K_s$  is the saturated hydraulic conductivity (LT<sup>-1</sup>),  $\alpha_{GRD} = 1/\lambda_{GRD}$  where  $\lambda_{GRD}$  is a scaling parameter which quantifies the importance of capillary forces relative to gravity. Also, analytical solutions requires calculation of the so-called matrix flux potential,  $\phi$ , defined as (Philip, 1968):

$$\phi(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{h} K(h) dh = \frac{K(h)}{\alpha_{GRD}}$$
 A. 3

Warrick (1974) solved the Richards equation analytically by using similar transformations (Eqs. A. 1 and A. 3) coupled with the additional assumption that  $dK/d\theta = k$  or  $d\theta/d\phi = \alpha_{GRD}/k$ , where k is a constant, to linearize Richards Equation:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = \frac{k}{\alpha_{GRD}} \nabla^2 \Phi - k \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}$$
 A. 4

To solve Eq. A. 4 analytically, the dimensionless variables:  $R = \alpha_{GRD}r/2$ ,  $Z = \alpha_{GRD}z/2$ ,  $T = \alpha_{GRD} kt/4$ ,  $\rho = \sqrt{R^2 + Z^2}$ , and the dimensionless matric flux potential:  $\Phi_B = \alpha q \phi/8\pi$  were introduced, where r and z are spatial radial and vertical coordinates, and t is time. With the initial condition  $\phi(r, z, 0) = 0$  and the boundary conditions  $-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} + \alpha_{GRD}\phi = 0$  for  $z = 0, r \neq 0$ , the analytical solution for a buried point source in an infinite medium is given as (Warrick, 1974):

$$\Phi_B(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{T}) = \frac{e^z}{2\rho} \left[ e^\rho \ erfc \ \left(\frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{T}} + \sqrt{T}\right) + e^\rho \ erfc \ \left(\frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{T}} - \sqrt{T}\right) \right]$$
A. 5

where erfc is the complementary error function given as (Spiegel and Liu, 1999):

$$erfc(x) = 1 - erfc(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-u^2} du$$
 A. 6

The solution for a surface point source is:

$$\Phi_{S}(R,Z,T) = 2\left[\Phi_{B} - e^{2Z} \int_{Z}^{\infty} e^{-2Z'} (\Phi_{B})_{Z=Z'} dZ\right]$$
A. 7

the integration of Eq. A. 7 can be accomplished by using the Gauss-Leguerre quadrature (Sen et al.,

1992):

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2Z'} (\Phi_B)_{Z=Z'} dZ' = e^{-2Z} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} (\Phi_B)_{Z'=Z+x/2} \frac{dx}{2}$$
A. 8
$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{-2Z} \sum_{i=0}^{x} \omega_i (\Phi_B)_{Z'=Z+x/2}$$

where Z' = Z+x/2. The weights  $\omega_i$  and the sampling points  $x_i$  (for the 15-point formula used in this study) may be obtained from Carnahan *et al.* (1969).

For regular cyclic inputs (i.e., irrigation cycles) or other temporal variations in source strength, the value of  $\Phi$  is obtained by superposition in time and knowing that  $\Phi_{\rm B} = \alpha q \Phi / 8\pi$  (Warrick, 1974):

Pressure head values can then be obtained from Eqs. A. 2 and A. 3 as:

$$h(r, z, t) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{GRD}} ln\left(\frac{\alpha_{GRD}\phi(r, z, t)}{K_s}\right)$$
A. 10

Corresponding transient soil water content values  $\theta(r, z, t)$  may be obtained by the soil water retention model proposed by Russo (1988):

$$S_e = \frac{\theta - \theta_r}{\theta_s - \theta_r} = \left[\exp\left(-0.5\alpha_{GR}h\right)(1 + 0.5\alpha_{GR}h)\right]^{\left(\frac{2}{\mu_R + 2}\right)}$$
A. 11

where  $\alpha_{GR}$  is the soil parameter appearing in the Gardner's model for hydraulic conductivity related to the pore size distribution, while  $\mu_R$  is a parameter related to tortuosity. *Se* is effective saturation and  $\theta_s$  and  $\theta_r$  are the water contents at h=0 and for  $h\rightarrow\infty$ , respectively. The choice of the Russo model comes from the fact that it is appropriate for the linearized equations as it is based on the same parameter  $\alpha_{GR}$  used in the Gardner's exponential hydraulic conductivity function.