Noise levels of a track-laying tractor during field operations in the vineyard
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Abstract

Noise in agriculture is one of the risk factors to be taken into account in the assessment of the health and safety of workers. In particular, it is known that the tractor is a source of high noise. The Italian Low Decree 81/2008 defined the requirements for assessing and managing noise risk identifying a number of procedures to be adopted at different noise levels to limit workers exposure. This paper concerns the analysis of the noise risk arising from the use of a track-laying tractor during field operations carried out in the vineyard. The objective of this study was to evaluate the noise level that comes close to the ear of the operator driving the tractor measuring the values of equivalent sound level (Leq(A)) and peak sound pressure (LCpk). We considered four options related to the same tractor coupled with the following tools to perform some farming operations: rototilling, chisel plough, flail mowers and vibro farmer. We considered three test conditions: T1 in flat (slope 0%), T2 uphill and T3 downhill (both 30% slope). The instrument used for the measurements is a precision integrating portable sound level meter, class 1, model HD2110L by Delta OHM, Italy. Each survey lasted 2 minutes, with an interval of measurement equal to 0.5 s. The tests were performed in compliance with the standards ISO 9612 and ISO 9432. The results show that the measured sound levels exceed the limits allowed by the regulations in almost all the test conditions; values exceeding the threshold limit of 80 dB(A) were recorded coming up to a maximum value of 92.8 dB(A) for flail mowers in test T1. When limits imposed by the regulations are exceeded, the operator is obliged to wear the appropriate Personal Protective Equipment.

Introduction

Noise in agriculture is one of the risk factors to be taken into account for the evaluation of health and safety of workers. In particular, one of the major sources of discomfort for the workers operating a tractor is the noise to which they are subjected during work (Karamouzas et al., 2009). In Italy, Law Decree 81/2008 has defined the obligations of noise assessment and risk management, identifying a series of procedures to be adopted at the different noise levels in order to limit the exposure of workers. Excessive noise, in fact, is a global occupational health hazard with considerable social and physiological impacts, including noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) (Deborah et al., 2005).

Directive 2003/10/EC of the European Parliament was enacted on the minimum health and safety requirements regarding the exposure of workers to the risks arising from physical agents (noise).

It stipulates an upper average limit of noise exposure of a worker during an eight hours shift of work at 85 dB(A). This level is supposed to inhibit hearing impairments of workers (Moselhi et al., 1979). Even the ILO (International Labour Organization) indication agree with this.

Many authors carried out researches on noise risk in agriculture, in particular in the wood processing industry (Zimbalatti et al., 2010), oil mill (Porceddu and Dionigi, 2010), pasta factory (Bianchi et al., 2008), in mechanical harvest of hazelnuts (Cecchini et al., 2010) and on tractors in field conditions with various implements (Dewangan et al., 2005).

This paper concerns the analysis of the noise risk arising from the use of a track-laying tractor during field operations carried out in the vineyard; the aim is to evaluate noise at ear level of operators driving a track-laying tractor measuring the equivalent sound level (Leq(A)) and peak sound pressure (LCpk).

Materials and methods

Machines used in the tests

The tractor used during the tests is the track-laying tractor Trekker 80F by Landini (Figure 1), without cab, equipped with an anti-tip device, 58 kW power, Perkins engine 1104D-44 (Table 1).

Four test conditions were realized coupling the same tractor with the following tools to perform some farming operations in vineyard (Figure 2): rototilling, chisel plough, flail mowers and vibro farmer:

- rototilling (RT)
- chisel plough (CP)
- flail mowers (FM)
- vibro farmer (VF).

The tests were carried out in a vineyard situated in the countryside...
of Santa Margherita Belice (province of Agrigento, Sicily). Two homogeneous plots of vineyard, about 200 m long, were identified different only for slope: 0% and 30%. The tests were performed during the execution of the cultivation operations in the following conditions:
- flat, test named T1;
- uphill, test named T2;
- downhill, test named T3.

The average forward speed of the tractor was 2.5 km/h in test T2 and 3.0 km/h in tests T1 and T3.

**Instruments used during the tests**

The instrument used in the tests is a precision integrating portable sound level meter by Delta OHM, Italy, model HD2110L.

The instrument complies with class 1 specifications of IEC 61672-1, IEC 60651 and IEC 60804 and is able to perform all the measurements required by Italian legislation on the protection of workers from the risk of noise exposure (Law Decree 81/2008 and UNI9432 standard). The constant percentage bandwidth filters are compliant with class 0 IEC 61260 specifications and the microphone with IEC 61094-4. The tests were carried out in compliance with ISO 9612 and ISO 9432 standards.

During the measurements the microphone was placed near the worker’s ear at a distance of at least 0.1 m from the entrance of the external ear canal, approximately 0.04 m above the shoulder. Each measurement had a duration of 2 minutes (the case of stationary noise source) and the parameters were analyzed at intervals of 0.5 seconds.

We measured A-weighted time-averaged sound pressure level (L_Aeq) and C-weighted peak sound pressure level (L_Cpk). In addition, a C-weighted ex post measurement in the point of greatest noise was realized.

As required by article 189 of Law Decree 81/2008, the worker does not have to be exposed to L_Eq,8h values (occupational noise) reported to 8 working hours higher than 80 dB(A) and to L_Cpk exceeding 135 dB(C).

**Results and discussion**

A-weighted time-averaged sound pressure levels (L_Aeq) in test T1 are shown in Figure 3 for the 4 operating machines.

Noise pressure values obtained in T1 show a minimum of 86.5 dB(A) obtained by the chisel plough and a maximum of 92.8 dB(A) by the flail mowers. Figure 3 shows that the exposure limit value established by the article 189 of Law Decree 81/2008 (equal to 87 dB(A)) is exceeded.

**Table 1. Main technical characteristics of the track-laying tractor used in the tests.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass [kg]</th>
<th>Wheel track [mm]</th>
<th>Wheelbase [mm]</th>
<th>Seat b1 b2 h [cm]</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Hours of work [n]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3800</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>40 x 41 x 39</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1. Track-laying tractor Trekker 80F by Landini used in the tests.**

**Figure 2. Machines used during the tests.**

**Figure 3. Noise pressure level in test T1 (flat) for rototilling (RT), chisel plough (CP), flail mowers (FM) and vibro farmer (VF) treatments (data are reported as means ± standard deviations of the three replicates).**
only by rototilling and flail mowers. Both the upper action value of 85 dB(A) and the lower action value (equal to 80 dB(A)) are exceeded by all the machines. Statistical analysis (p < 0.05) shows significant differences between all the machines except chisel plough – vibro farmer and rototilling - flail mowers.

Figure 4 shows the A-weighted time-averaged sound pressure levels (LAeq) obtained by the four machines in test T2.

Noise pressure values obtained in T2 show a minimum of 86.6 dB(A) for chisel plough and a maximum of 92.2 dB(A) for flail mowers. The exposure limit value is exceeded by all the machines except chisel plough. Both the upper action value of 85 dB(A) and the lower action value (equal to 80 dB(A)) are exceeded by all the machines. Statistical analysis (p < 0.05) shows significant differences between all the machines.

Figure 5 shows the A-weighted time-averaged sound pressure levels (LAeq) in test T3.

Noise pressure values obtained in T3 show a minimum of 85.1 dB(A) for chisel plough and a maximum of 91.5 dB(A) for flail mowers. The exposure limit value is exceeded only by rototilling and vibro farmer. As obtained in T2, both the upper action value of 85 dB(A) and the lower action value (equal to 80 dB(A)) are exceeded by all the machines.

Statistical analysis (p < 0.05) shows significant differences between all the machines.

C-weighted peak sound pressure levels (LCpk) obtained in the different tests are shown in Figure 6.

Neither the exposure limit value equal to 140 dB(C) according to the cited art. 189 of Law Decree 81/2008, or the upper and lower action values (equal to 137 dB(C) and 135 dB(C)) are reached or exceeded by any of the tested machines.

Finally, we determined the L_{eq,8h} values considering a daily duration of the operator personal exposure of 4.5 hours. The results are summarized in Table 2.

**Conclusions**

The results of the experiments carried out allow us to affirm that:

- the highest noise pressure values were obtained by the flail mowers. This occurs in the three test conditions;
- the other machine that gave high noise levels was rototilling in the three test conditions;

![Figure 4. Noise pressure level in test T2 (uphill) for rototilling (RT), chisel plough (CP), flail mowers (FM) and vibro farmer (VF) treatments (data are reported as means ± standard deviations of the three replicates).](image)

![Figure 5. Noise pressure level in test T3 (downhill) for rototilling (RT), chisel plough (CP), flail mowers (FM) and vibro farmer (VF) treatments (data are reported as means ± standard deviations of the three replicates).](image)

![Figure 6. C-weighted peak sound pressure level LCpk in the three tests for rototilling (RT), chisel plough (CP), flail mowers (FM) and vibro farmer (VF) treatments (data are reported as means ± standard deviations of the three replicates).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>FM</th>
<th>VF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>90.07</td>
<td>64.94</td>
<td>90.50</td>
<td>64.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>86.80</td>
<td>64.12</td>
<td>69.66</td>
<td>65.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>87.65</td>
<td>82.54</td>
<td>89.01</td>
<td>64.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Daily noise exposure level L_{eq,8h}.**
noise pressure values measured during the three tests are always higher than the lower and the higher action values identified by law, respectively equal to 80 dB(A) and 85 dB(A);

the exposure limit value of 87 dB(A) is reached in T1 only by rototilling and flail mowers, in T2 by rototilling, flail mowers and vibro farmer and in T3 by rototilling and flail mowers again;

with reference to the peak values, neither the exposure limit value equal to 140 dB(C), or the upper and lower action values (equal to 137 dB(C) and 135 dB(C)) are reached or exceeded by any of the tested machines in the three tests;

daily noise exposure levels LEx,8h obtained by rototilling and flail mowers overcome the exposure limit value of 87 dB(A);

the use of appropriate PPE is required when limits imposed by the regulations are exceeded.
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