Energy and pressure requirements for compression of swine solid fraction compost
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Abstract

The excessive amount of pig slurry spread on soil has contributed to nitrate water pollution both in surface and in ground waters, especially in areas classified as vulnerable zones to nitrate in accordance with European Regulation (91/676/CEE). Several techniques have been developed to manage livestock slurries as cheaply and conveniently as possible and to reduce potential risks of environmental pollution. Among these techniques, solid-liquid separation of slurry is a common practice in Italy. The liquid fraction can be used for irrigation and the solid fraction, after aerobic stabilization, produces an organic compost rich in humic substances. However, compost derived from swine solid fraction is a low density material (bulk density less than 500 kg m⁻³). This makes it costly to transport composted swine solid fraction from production sites to areas where it could be effectively utilized for value-added applications such as in soil fertilization. Densification is one possible way to enhance the storage and transportation of the compost. This study therefore investigates the effect of pressure (20-110 MPa) and pressure application time (5-120 s) on the compaction characteristics of compost derived from swine solid fraction. Two different types of material have been used: composted swine solid fraction derived from mechanical separation and compost obtained by mixing the first material with wood chips. Results obtained showed that both the pressure applied and the pressure application time significantly affect the density of the compacted samples; while the specific compression energy is significantly affected only by the pressure. Best predictor equations were developed to predict compact density and the specific compression energy required by the densification process. The specific compression energy values based on the results from this study (6-32 kJ kg⁻¹) were significantly lower than the specific energy required to manufacture pellets from biomass feedstock (typically 19-90 kJ kg⁻¹).

Introduction

The excessive amount of pig slurry spread on soil has contributed to nitrate water pollution both in surface and in ground waters, especially in areas classified as vulnerable zones to nitrate in accordance with European Regulation (91/676/CEE).

In order to avoid environmental pollution several technologies have been recently developed. Among these techniques, solid-liquid separation of slurry is a common practice in Italy. It leads to a solid fraction rich in phosphorous and organic matter, and to a liquid fraction which is rich in soluble nitrogen (Fangueiro et al., 2012). The liquid fraction can be used in land application or reused on the farm as flushing water (García et al., 2009) and the solid fraction can be composted directly and/or co-composted with vegetable residues.

However, compost derived from swine solid fraction is a low density material (bulk density less than 500 kg m⁻³ – Pampuro et al., 2012). This makes it costly to transport composted swine solid fraction from production sites to areas where it could be effectively utilized for value-added applications such as in soil fertilization. Densification is one possible way to enhance the storage and transportation of the composted swine solid fraction.

Traditionally, agricultural materials are densified into pellets, cubes and bales. Pellets are the densest of these agglomerates. Therefore, they require the highest amount of input energy (19-90 kJ kg⁻¹) during manufacturing (Tabil and Sokhansanj, 1996). The manufacture of cubes uses lower pressure than pelletizing. Production of cubes is largely limited to forage crops such as alfalfa (Bernhart et al., 2010). Baling is a process that combines compression and packing operations. It is typically used for grassy or fibrous-like materials that are stringy in nature (Bernhart et al., 2010). Compost derived from swine solid fraction is not stringy and therefore not suitable for baling.

The overall goal of this study was to investigate the densification process of compost derived from swine solid fraction for efficient transportation and off-site utilization. The first specific objective of this research was to determine the specific energy requirement for compression of compost derived from swine solid fraction at different pressure and at different pressure application time. A second specific objective was to study the equations able to predict compact density and the specific compaction energy required to manufacture compacts from two composts derived from swine solid fraction.
Materials and methods

Sample preparation

The tests were carried out using two different types of compost: swine solid fraction compost (SSFC) and wood chips compost (WCC). SSFC was obtained composting 6,000 kg of swine solid fraction, while WCC resulted by mixing 8,000 kg of swine solid fraction with 2,400 kg of wood chips obtained processing residues from park maintenance.

The initial bulk density of SSFC and WCC were 240 and 480 kg m⁻³, respectively.

The initial moisture content of SSFC and WCC were 51.1 and 49.6% (wb), respectively. The moisture content of the samples (mass of 10 kg for each compost type) was reduced to 10% by drying in an oven set to 50°C (Bernhart and Fasina, 2009). Only one moisture level of 10% (wb) was used and this was based upon literature review that at this moisture level, high density and quality pellets/briquettes were produced from various straw and biomass (Li and Liu, 2000; Obernberger and Thek, 2004; Mani et al., 2006; Adapa et al., 2009).

Compression equipment

The press used to obtain the compressed material has two opposite hydraulic cylinders. The unit, fitted with an oil-hydraulic unit, can deliver up to 297 kN in a time variable from 0 to 210 seconds. The press can be equipped with different compressing chambers as needed. In order to obtain the tests samples, a chamber with a diameter of 45 mm and a volume of 440 cm³ was used.

Upper and lower cylinders are fitted with load cells (max rated load 200 kN) that give signals proportional to the compressing force. The top of the plunger is connected with a potentiometric displacement sensor (350 mm full stroke) giving the exact position and volume of the compressing chamber. The oil feed line has a pressure transducer. These signals are processed by a pc-based acquisition system capable of acquiring up to 10 ks/s. For this application the sampling rate was fixed to 1 ks/s. All the collected data were recorded with a dedicated software for post-processing operations.

Compression tests and energy calculations

The mass of samples used for making compacts was 55.00 g. Four preset pressures of 20, 50, 80 and 110 MPa corresponding to loads of 31.5, 62.3, 126.1 and 173.4 kN, were used to compress samples in the chamber. Five applications times (5, 10, 40, 90 and 120 s) for each pressure level were applied during densification process. For each material every combination of pressure and time was carried out with five replications (Figure 1).

A digital caliper was used to measure the length and the diameter, while a digital balance accurate to 0.01 g was used to measure the mass of densified material. The densities of the samples were calculated from the ratio of mass to volume.

During the compression of individual compacts, force-displacement data were recorded. Specific compression energy (SCE) was calculated following the methodology of Adapa et al. (2006) and Mani et al. (2006). The area under the force-displacement curve was integrated using the trapezoid rule (Santamarta et al., 2012); when combined with the briquette mass, it yielded the specific energy values in kJ kg⁻¹.

Data analysis

Regression analysis was performed using the proc reg function in SPSS statistical software package (Version 17.0) and plotted with the experimental data using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office 2007). Significance testing was carried out using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in the SPSS statistical package.

Results

Compact density

The pressure application time in the compression process has a highly significant effect on the density as well as the maximum applied pressure (p<0.05). The interaction between the two parameters is not significant (p>0.05).

For WCC the increase in density was significant (p<0.05) for an increase of pressure application time from 10 to 40 s and from 90 to 120 s. For SSFC the increase in density was significant (p<0.05) for an increase of pressure application time from 5 to 10 s, 10 to 40 s and from 40 to 90 s.

Results from the experiments showed that average density values ranged from 1,001 to 1,435 kg m⁻³ and from 843 to 1,259 kg m⁻³ for WCC and SSFC respectively, upon application of pressure in the range of 20-110 MPa (Table 1).

For each pressure level applied, WCC showed density values significantly (p<0.05) higher than SSFC.

Eq. (1 and 2) were fitted to the experimental density values as a function of pressure application time and pressure for WCC and SSFC, respectively.

\[
\text{Density (SSFC)} = 179 + 0.5 \times t + 260.1 \times \ln(p) \\
R^2 = 0.996 \\
\text{Eq (1)}
\]

\[
\text{Density (WCC)} = 52 + 0.93 \times t + 247 \times \ln(p) \\
R^2 = 0.995 \\
\text{Eq (2)}
\]

![Figure 1. Samples obtained applying 50 MPa for 40 s (SSFC on the left and WCC on the right).](image)
Specific compression energy requirement

The ANOVA showed no significant ($p>0.05$) effect of pressure application time on specific compression energy consumption.

For applied pressures of 20, 50, 80 and 110 MPa the average specific compression energy required to form the briquettes was equal to 5.6, 12.7, 19.2 and 24.9 kJ kg$^{-1}$ for WCC and 9.0, 17.9, 25.4 and 32.2 kJ kg$^{-1}$ for SSFC.

For each pressure level applied, WCC showed specific compression energy values significantly ($p<0.05$) lower than SSFC. This could be due to the effect of the composting process that leads to a weakening of the wood fibres, compared to the swine manure, causing different energy adsorption.

For both materials there is a linear relationship between pressure and specific compression energy following the equation 3 (WCC) and 4 (SSFC).

$$\text{SCE}_{\text{WCC}} = 0.2124 * p + 1.666 \quad R^2 = 0.980 \quad \text{Eq (3)}$$

$$\text{SCE}_{\text{SSFC}} = 0.254 * p + 4.694 \quad R^2 = 0.962 \quad \text{Eq (4)}$$

For each density value obtained, SSFC showed specific compression energy values significantly ($p<0.05$) higher than WCC (Table 2). For WCC and SSFC there is a non linear relationship between compact and SCE that can be explained with an exponential equation reported as follow.

$$\text{SCE}_{\text{WCC}} = 0.186 e^{0.0034 \cdot \text{compact}} \quad R^2 = 0.987 \quad \text{Eq (5)}$$

$$\text{SCE}_{\text{SSFC}} = 0.735 e^{0.0030 \cdot \text{compact}} \quad R^2 = 0.956 \quad \text{Eq (6)}$$

Conclusions

Previous studies conducted by Demirbas (1999) have shown a logarithmic relationship between applied pressure and resulting density of briquettes manufactured from waste paper and wheat straw mixtures and applied pressure. Even though considerably higher pressures were used in that study (300-800 MPa), the briquette densities obtained (50-850 kg m$^{-3}$) were lower than the densities obtained in this study. We suspect this is due to the different properties of the materials under test.

Adapa et al. (2009) during pelleting of different types of straw, found a polynomial relationship between applied pressure and resulting density. The mean densities of barley, canola, oat and wheat straw compacts increased from 907 to 988 kg m$^{-3}$, 823 to 1003 kg m$^{-3}$, 849 to 1011 kg m$^{-3}$ and 813 to 924 kg m$^{-3}$, respectively, upon application of pressure in the range of 31.6-138.9 MPa. Although, the moisture level of 10% (wb) used by Adapa et al. (2009) was equal to the moisture content used in this study, we have obtained higher density values applying pressure levels of 20-110 MPa. This could be due to the different characteristics of the densification process investigated.

The specific compaction energy values found in this study were considerably higher than those found by Bernhart et al. (2010) during the compaction tests of poultry litter. The two main reasons for this difference were that the densities reached by Bernhart et al. (2010) were much lower than the densities found for this work and the moisture content of poultry litter was substantially higher.

The specific compression energies calculated for the densification of compost derived from swine solid fraction were lower than the values found by Santamarta et al. (2012). Using a moisture content of 10.76%
and an applied pressure of 47.7 MPa, the specific energy required to form the OSR straw briquettes was 24.95 kJ kg⁻¹, compared to 12.7 and 17.9 kJ kg⁻¹ used to produce WCC and SSFC briquettes, respectively, when the applied pressure was 50.0 MPa and the moisture content was 10%.

Moreover the average specific compression energy values found with this trial were lower than the specific energy required to manufacture pellets from biomass feedstock (typically 19-90 kJ kg⁻¹; Colley et al., 2006).

As stated by Mani et al. (2004), the energy requirements depend mainly upon the applied pressure and moisture content, but also on the physical properties of the material and the method of compaction.

It can be concluded that the pressure agglomeration process is more efficient than the pelleting process - the specific energy values obtained were significantly lower and the final density values were higher when applying the same compaction pressure level.

The results from this study could be used in the design of economical and simple on-farm pressure agglomeration equipment.
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